UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,568	03/27/2006	Akihiko Kubota	2006_0241A	4805	
	7590 10/24/201 , LIND & PONACK I	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Stree		STIMPERT, PHILIP EARL			
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			3746		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/24/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,568	KUBOTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PHILIP STIMPERT	3746		

	PHILIP STIMPERT	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 August 2011</u> . A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further contour (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet application in bet application. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5, 7-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. Applicant have merely argued why the amendment place the art rejections were presented.	es the case in better form for appe		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746			